

Technological Higher Education Association: Roles and Ways of Working

Dr Joseph Ryan, CEO, THEA Jim Murray, Director of Academic Affairs and Deputy CEO, THEA Academic Career Framework for Latvia – Virtual Visit to Ireland 21 January 2021

0

# Part I

- Role of THEA in the Irish higher education system
  - Ways of working with policy makers
- Views on how the systems is steered and lessons learned

### THEA'S MISSION

To increase awareness and understanding of the unique attributes of technological higher education in order to influence policy on behalf of our members

## THEA functions & activities



## High level goals 2018-2023

Goal

#### ADVOCACY AND REPRESENTATION

That technological higher education will be better known, understood, and valued for the quality of the student experience, its relevance and links to industry, its equitable values and flexible delivery, its unique research profile, and its positive regional impact

#### MEMBER NETWORKS

That THEA member networks will develop and maintain a strategic perspective which allows them to leverage their collective talents, enables peer learning, knowledge sharing, and productive networking; and drives the development and progress of common sectoral agendas

#### SERVICES TO THE THEA COMMUNITY

That THEA will be valued by its members as a professional, knowledge-based organisation that supports their interests through the provision of strategically-oriented and added-value services

Working with Policy Makers

- Collaboration a mainstay of the system; key Government Departments and their agencies recognize value of consultation
- Also generally recognize THEA as the authoritative voice of technological higher education
- THEA works particularly closely with the Department of Further and Higher Education, Research, Innovation and Science, and the Department of Education and Skills, and their respective agencies
  - HEA, SOLAS, QQI, NCCA, SFI
- Wide ranging engagement on every aspect of policy development and implementation concerning the HE and THE sectors
  - funding, governance and quality
  - system reconfiguration
  - aspects of teaching and learning, including digital and online learning policy supports
  - research, development, innovation and engagement
  - internationalization of Irish higher education
  - apprenticeship development (and more besides)

# Example: The TURN Report

The Technological Universities Network conceived and established jointly by Department of Education and Skills and THEA

6

• Purpose:

٠

- to solidify political support for Technological University (TU) concept
- to secure necessary development funding for TU consortia working towards designation and those newly designated
- Resulting Report highlighted pivotal role of TUs in achieving the Government's national strategic policies as set out in Project Ireland 2040, Future Jobs Ireland and Horizon Europe
- Made the case for significant investment and necessary policy reform under three thematic areas:
  - Integrated multi-campus digital infrastructure
  - Research capacity building
  - Policy realignment and funding of TUs
- Budget 2020 announcement of a €90 million TU Transformation Fund a direct outcome of THEA collaboration with policy makers

#### **Technological Universities**

## CONNECTEDNESS & COLLABORATION through CONNECTIVI7

Report of the Technological Universities Resea Network to the Department of Education and

October 2019

# Part II

- Recruitment of academic staff and staff development
  - Salaries and Promotion
  - Teaching and Research Careers

Evolution of Technological Higher Education Sector

- Roots of Institutes of Technology and Technological Universities traceable to the Irish second level vocational education system of the early 1970s
- Steady evolutionary change marked by key legislation: RTC Act 1992, Institutes of Technology Act 2006, TU Act 2018, QQI Amendment Act 2019
- All IoTs are designated awarding bodies to masters level (Level 9)
- Technological universities awarding bodies to doctoral level (Level 10)
- Marked growth and development of sector over 50 years – sector accounts for some 40% of total HE enrolments

## Historical Legacy

- Structure of staff contracts the main residual element of sector's school-VET origins
- Essentially, an hours-based teaching contract typically 18 hours teaching
- For other, HE related activities, including research, workarounds have had to be found, including
  - Buying out hours
  - Research-only contracts
- Reform of contract is arguably the final major requirement in turning IoTs/TUs into fully autonomous university institutions that engage
  - in the full range of activities associated with universities
  - and at the expected/required depth

## General Features of The Current System

- Recruitment undertaken locally by institutions within the context of a national Employment Control Framework (ECF)
- Pay and Staff Grades determined centrally by Government, and informed historically by benchmarking
- Staff development is supported at institutional level where staff are encouraged to manage their own career development
- National initiatives also a key element of staff development e.g. National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning

## TURN Report

- TURN report made a number of recommendations relevant to the staff contract issue
  - The need to invest in research capacity building around mutually supporting research and teaching;
  - The need to implement a TU appropriate career structure
- It signalled, in particular, a move towards output work allocation approaches based on professional, autonomous and self-directed working patterns
- Not prescriptive on the 'how' of transformation

## Transformation Process

- Transformation process only beginning now not ideal, given that most TUs will be established before an appropriate contract has been developed
- Will require considerable leadership and engagement from all the key players to achieve the desired outcome of a new and appropriate contract that is informed by the mission of TUs rather than custom and practice
- First step a discussion paper produced by Professor Tom Collins – currently being considered by stakeholders
- Complicated by very recent formation of new government Department (DFHERIS) – will take time for new Department to assume staff external relations function and build expertise

## Issues for Clarification in the Institutions

- What is the academic year i.e. number of weeks to be allocated within a work allocation model and where is this signed off centrally?
  - institution level or sub institution level?
- What is the duration of the week- i.e. number of hours to be allocated
- Other than teaching hours during these weeks what other tasks can be allocated?
- How much preparation time should be allocated per teaching contact hour and on what basis is this determined
- What weighting if any is given to student numbers; level of study on the Qualifications framework and stage of study

Key Areas for Discussion in Developing a New Staff Contract

- Nature and extent of the Academic Year
- Career Paths and Work Allocation Models
- The case for Units rather than Hours
- The needs and potential of early career staff
- Work Allocation Models A social process or a technical process?

# Thank You!

